## **REMARKS**

Claim 31 has been amended to clarify the subject matter regarded as the invention. New claims 41 and 42 have been added. Claims 31-42 are pending.

Claims 31-40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funk in view of Nelson. Claim 31 has been amended to recite, "storing the change information on a log file; and transmitting the contents of the log file through a network, wherein the transmission is initiated by the computer system substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs." Examples of support for the amendment in the specification include paragraphs 12, 47-49, 53, 56-58, and 65.

Funk describes an automated system of passively distributing personalized, unique information to users via electronic mail or facsimile. Nelson teaches a disk storage system with dual controllers having real time, synchronous, mirrored memory. Neither Funk nor Nelson teaches "storing the change information on a log file" and "storing the change information on a log file; and transmitting the contents of the log file through a network, wherein the transmission is initiated by the computer system substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs." Thus, claim 31 is believed to be allowable.

Claims 32-39 depend from claim 31 and are believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above.

Similarly to claim 31, claim 40 recites as amended, a processor configured to "store the change information on a log file, and transmit the contents of the log file through a network, wherein the transmission initiated by the computer system is performed substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs." Therefore, claim 40 is believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above.

New claim 41 depends from claim 31 and is believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above. Additional support for the new claim can be found without limitation in the specification at paragraphs 56 and 57.

New claim 42 depends from claim 31 and is believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above. Additional support for the new claim can be found without limitation in the specification at paragraph 34.

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all claims are respectfully requested based on the preceding remarks. If at any time the Examiner believes that an interview would be helpful, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/1/05

Registration No. 39,883

V 408-973-2592

F 408-973-2595

VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP 10050 N. Foothill Blvd., Suite 200 Cupertino, CA 95014